UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EDDIE LEON DONAHOO,

Petitioner,	Case Number 2:22-CV-11019 HONORABLE SEAN F. COX
v.	
JAMES CORRIGAN,	
Respondent.	

ORDER ACKNOWLEDGING PETITIONER'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE OPINION AND ORDER STAYING THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (See ECF No. 28)

Eddie Leon Donahoo, ("Petitioner"), filed a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On March 5, 2024, this Court's predecessor held the case in abeyance and administratively closed the case so that Petitioner could return to the state courts to exhaust some of the claims in his petition. Petitioner was given sixty (60) days to file his post-conviction motion for relief from judgment in the state court. *Donahoo v. Corrigan*, No. 2:22-CV-11019, 2024 WL 948293 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 5, 2024). (ECF No. 23.)

Petitioner subsequently sent a letter to the Court, indicating that he never received a copy of Respondent's answer or any other orders from the Court. (ECF No. 25.) Petitioner's allegation was confirmed by the fact that the docket sheet indicates that a copy of the opinion and order holding the petition in abeyance was returned as undeliverable to the Court. (ECF No. 24.)

This Court vacated the opinion and order from March 5, 2024, and reissued the opinion and order holding the petition as the March 5, 2025. Petitioner was granted a sixty (60) day extension of time to file his motion for relief from judgment with the state trial court. Petitioner was ordered to notify this Court when he filed his motion for relief from judgment in the state

Case 2:22-cv-11019-SFC-APP ECF No. 29, PageID.2245 Filed 05/13/25 Page 2 of 2

courts, at which time the case would then be held in abeyance pending Petitioner's exhaustion of

the claims. Donahoo v. Corrigan, No. 2:22-CV-11019, 2025 WL 715339 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 5,

2025). (ECF No. 26.)

Petitioner has filed with this Court a copy of the motion for relief from judgment that he

filed with the state court, indicating that he has complied with the terms of the stay order by timely

filing his post-conviction motion with the state court. (ECF No. 28). This Court acknowledges

Petitioner's compliance with the stay order and will continue the stay.

If Petitioner does not obtain relief in the state courts, Petitioner shall file a motion to lift

the stay using the same caption and case number within sixty (60) days after the conclusion of the

state court post-conviction proceedings.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 13, 2025

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

United States District Judge

2